## ICU v. Medegen and others

James Pooley, Lead Counsel and Kim VanVoorhis - Morrison & Foerster Christian Platt and Joe Warren - Fish & Richardson


Created animation segments for technological tutorials, compared and contrasted products of plaintiff and defendant, and helped experts in a series of related litigations. Designed and created an operating super scale enlarged model of the device for demonstration by experts. Developed analogies to describe properties of the device and the infringing device. Doug also maintained a library of patent art, technical illustrations and images useful for different cases.



## ESS v. Mediatek

James Pooley, Lead Counsel and L. Scott Oliver - Milbank Tweed, Hadley & McCloy (currently at Morrison & Foerster)

In one of the largest copyright litigation settlements ever paid, in June 2003 MediaTek Inc. agreed to pay ESS Technology Inc. up to \$90 million, composed of a one-time license fee plus future royalties. Role included finding DVD machines with unlicensed software in retail markets where defendant claimed none existed, comparing and contrasting operating systems, interfaces and features designed into the chip.



#### OCLI v. Sierra Photonics


William S. Farmer, Lead Counsel - Collette & Erickson, LLP A Silicon Chip layering machinery company recognized their patented machine at a competitor's booth in a trade show. The competitor was run by past employees of the original company. Doug was called in to prepare chronologies, flow charts and technical representations of the machinery to compare and contrast for a restraining order and a possible infringement lawsuit, including claims for theft of trade secrets. Our client prevailed.



# KLA v. TSK

David Bohrer, Lead Attorney - Oppenheimer

First fully animated DVD technology tutorial for Markman on laser detection of microscopic imperfections of silicon disk prior to manufacture into CPU chips. Contained 90 minutes of 3D technical animation accessed via a DVD interface, which explained the specific properties of the KLA device in comparison with the infringing device, and very specific scientific and mathematical technologies. One exhibit was a tabletop 3D plastic chart of the reflection radius. All exhibits were designed, produced and delivered in 20 weeks.



### Union 76 v. Exxon, Mobil, Arco, Chevron and Texaco

John Keker, Keker & Van Nest, Lead Counsel, Fried Frank, Local Counsel, Morgan & Finnegan, IP Counsel Joint defendants hired Doug's team to help defend against patent claims for a low emission formula for gasoline developed by plaintiff, defendants, the State of California and Toyota. Plaintiff patented process without informing partners. They created and staffed triage graphics teams in affiliated law firms in New York City and Los Angeles, while preparing and finalizing concepts specific to trial themes that brought understanding to complex issues. Doug also developed a sophisticated trial presentation system which boasted zero malfunctions during an intense 15-week trial.